For years, greedy CEOs have boosted profits on the backs of workers by cutting wages, hours and benefits. Now they are attacking the one thing that protects the middle class and holds CEOs accountable: our unions.

"Right to work" isn't what it seems:

**Helps Big Business.** The same corporate CEOs who put profits over people are backing this divisive legislation. It makes it easier to cut hours, pay less, send jobs overseas and weaken health and safety protections.

**Cuts Our Pay.** The average worker makes about $5,000 less each year in states with these laws. Of the 10 states with the lowest minimum wages, eight have enacted similar laws. (U.S. Census Bureau, State Median Household Income)

**Limits Our Freedom.** We already can work wherever we want, and no one forced us to join a union. That's already protected by law. We need a voice at work and to bargain for better wages and benefits. Our elected officials should focus on creating good jobs, raising wages and making it easier for people to raise a family—not restricting our rights and giving even more power to CEOs.

---

**Make sure we have a voice on the job.**

**OPPOSE** 'RIGHT TO WORK' LEGISLATION

Call Congress at 855-465-4694 and tell them to vote NO on "Right-to-Work"
Deceptive ‘Right to Work’ Laws Hurt Everyone

By many measures, the quality of life is worse in states with “right to work” laws. Wages are lower, poverty levels are higher, people are less likely to have health insurance, and resources for education are lower—even infant mortality and the likelihood of being killed on the job are higher.

States with right to work laws:

Have Lower Wages and Incomes

- On average, workers in states with right to work laws make $6,109 (12.1%) less annually than workers in other states ($44,401, compared with $50,511).\(^1\)

- Median household income in states with these laws is $8,174 (13.9%) less than in other states ($50,712 vs. $58,886).\(^2\)

- 29.6% of jobs in right to work states were in low-wage occupations, compared with 22.8% of jobs in other states.\(^3\)

Have Lower Rates of Health Insurance Coverage

- People younger than 65 in states with right to work laws are more likely to be uninsured (13.0%, compared with 9.4% in free-bargaining states).\(^4\)

- Only 47% of private-sector employers in states with right to work laws offer insurance coverage to their employees, compared with 52.2% in other states.\(^5\) That difference is even more pronounced among small employers (with fewer than 50 workers)—only 30.1% offer workers health insurance, compared with 38.1% of small employers in other states.\(^6\)

---

• Workers in right to work states also pay a larger share of their health insurance premiums, on average, than those in free-bargaining states (28.5% of the premium, compared with 25.4% in free-bargaining states).  

**Have Higher Poverty and Infant Mortality Rates**

• Poverty rates are higher in states with right to work laws (15.3% overall and 21.4% for children), compared with poverty rates of 12.8% overall and 18.0% for children in states without these laws.  

• The infant mortality rate is 12.4% higher in states with right to work laws.  

**Invest Less in Education**

• States with right to work laws spend 32.5% less per pupil on elementary and secondary education than other states.  

**Have Higher Workplace Fatality Rates**

• The rate of workplace deaths is 49% higher in states with these laws, according to data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.  

---

10 National Education Association, Ranking & Estimates - Rankings of the States 2015 and Estimates of School Statistics 2016, Table H-11. Current Expenditures for Public K-12 Schools Per Student in Fall Enrollment, 2014-15 ($), www.nea.org/assets/docs/2016_NEA_Rankings_And_Estimates.pdf. Note: Wisconsin was excluded from the free-bargaining states vs. right to work state analysis for education spending because the state enacted its’ right to work law in 2015. The impact of right to work policies would not have been fully experienced in the 2014–2015 school year. In addition, West Virginia is included as a free bargaining state in this analysis of 2014–2015 school year data because the state passed right to work legislation in 2016.  
Deceptive ‘Right to Work’ is Wrong for Workers

“Right to work” legislation is being promoted by corporate special interest groups and big multinational corporations that ship jobs overseas and offshore their profits to avoid paying taxes. This deceptive legislation weakens collective bargaining rights, which would tilt the balance in our state even more toward big corporations and further rig the system at the expense of middle-class families.

• **Right to work legislation puts our families’ safety at risk.** It would make it harder for nurses to negotiate for safe staffing levels, and limit the ability of emergency responders, police officers and firefighters to negotiate for things to keep us all safe—like faster response times and lifesaving emergency equipment. This legislation limits the rights of our state’s everyday heroes, silences the professional voices of teachers, nurses, police officers and firefighters, and makes it harder for them to protect and serve.

• **These laws endanger safety and health standards that protect workers on the job.** Unions have a long history of fighting for tougher workplace safety and health rules. By weakening unions, right to work laws weaken workers’ ability through their unions to maintain and strengthen workplace safety and health standards. According to data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the rate of workplace deaths is 49% higher in states with these laws.¹

• **Right to work laws lower wages for everyone.** The average worker in states with these laws makes $6,109 a year less than workers in other states ($44,401, compared with $50,511).² Because of the higher wages, working families in states without these laws also benefit from healthier tax bases that improve their quality of life.

• **Right to work legislation is unnecessary.** Under federal law, no one can be forced to join a union. And the Supreme Court ruled long ago that union-represented workers can never be forced to pay dues for union political activities they disagree with.

• **Right to work legislation would allow the government to interfere unfairly in the freedoms of private businesses and employees.** This proposed legislation would weaken our right to collectively bargain, limiting our freedom to bargain for respect, fair pay and safety on the job.

---

• **These laws are unfair to dues-paying union members.** For example, they require a union to spend its time and money representing a nonmember who pays no dues—even if the battle is long and costly. Since the union represents everyone, everyone benefits—so everyone should share in the costs. Amazingly, nonmembers who don’t pay dues can sue the union if they think it has not represented them well enough.

• **Right to work legislation is the wrong priority for our state right now.** Our state legislature should focus on strengthening our economy and making sure it works for all state residents. We need to create more good jobs. We need to stop giving tax dollars to companies that ship work overseas. We need to close unfair corporate tax loopholes and stop employer fraud that deprives workers of rights and allows corporations to cheat on their taxes. But none of that will happen if corporate special interests pass this extreme bill—because it tilts the balance of power even more toward big corporations, at the expense of middle-class families.